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Affirmative Action Plan  
for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies’ affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with reportable and targeted disabilities in the federal government.

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer: No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer: No

* For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer: No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer: No

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

   The Mint conducts quarterly Operations Reviews and this information is communicated at these meetings to managers/supervisors and representatives from the Human Capital Directorate.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.
A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

   Answer: No

   The Mint plans to recruit permanent staff to fill vacant EEO/disability positions at the Philadelphia Mint, San Francisco Mint, West Point Mint, and for the Diversity Management and Civil Rights Office (Headquarters).

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency’s disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Program Task</th>
<th># of FTE Staff by Employment Status</th>
<th>Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office, Email)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Part Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing applications from PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 508 Compliance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training(s) that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training(s) planned for the upcoming year.

   Answer: Yes

   The EEO managers/specialists participated in an overview of the reasonable accommodation process and participated in the development and publication of the Mint’s reasonable accommodation directive.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

   Answer: Yes
Section III: Program Deficiencies in the Disability Program

In Part G of its FY 2017 MD-715 report, the agency identified the following program deficiencies involving its disability program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Deficiencies</th>
<th>Agency Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there a central fund or other mechanism for funding supplies, equipment and services necessary to provide disability accommodations?</td>
<td>Only sign language is centrally funded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD.

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICANTS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

The Mint conducts outreach by using various job networks for people with disabilities. For example, the Denver Mint’s EEO Office will continue to work with local State of Colorado Dept. of Rehabilitation Employment Programs, VA Employment Coordinators, and Regional Wounded Warrior Transition Unit at military installations. Headquarters utilizes Operation War Fighter and Workforce Recruitment Program, and the San Francisco Mint utilizes the California Department of Rehabilitation.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce.

Schedule A is available for use along with Veteran Appointment Authorities to non-competitively appoint PWD and PWTD and veterans with service-connected disability rating of 30% or more. The selective placement program coordinator participates in job fairs, advises management on individuals available for placement, provides individuals with disabilities information on current opportunities, and maintains a file of PWD and PWTD seeking employment opportunities at the Mint which are provided to hiring managers before a vacancy announcement is posted.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority and (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

When individuals apply utilizing the Schedule A Hiring Authority, their applications are reviewed by the servicing HR Specialist who confirms that the applicants meet the qualification requirements of the announced position and have provided required proof of disability. Applicants applying under the Schedule A Hiring Authority who are...
deemed qualified are referred to the hiring manager on a Schedule A certificate of eligibility with guidance on selection procedures including the application of veterans’ preference, when applicable. Managers have the option to interview and/or hire from the Schedule A certificate or to consider other candidates from other issued certificates (Merit Promotion, Non-Competitive, VRA, etc.). Alternatively, when individuals submit their resumes directly to the Treasury or Mint Special Placement Program Coordinator (SPPC) for vacant positions, the SPPC refers the resumes to the designated servicing HR Specialist. The HR Specialist reviews the resumes to determine qualifications. If qualifications and Schedule A eligibility are met, the resumes are then forwarded to the hiring manager for consideration, with guidance on selection procedures, including the application of veterans’ preference, when applicable.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer: Yes

On May 24, 2017, Mint managers and supervisors attended the “Be a Champion Roadshow” sponsored by the Department of Treasury, Office of Civil Rights and Diversity. The roadshow was designed to encourage managers/supervisors to “Become a Champion” by hiring veterans and people with disabilities. Information was provided on resources and the use of various hiring authorities.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The Mint has established/maintained relationships with various agencies:

1. Veterans Administration Vocational Rehabilitation Employment Coordinator
2. State of Colorado Department of Vocational Rehabilitation
3. Department of the Army, Regional Coordinator - Education and Employment Initiative (E2I) - Region 8
4. Department of the Army, Regional Coordinator - Operation Warfighter (OWF) - Region 8
5. Fort Carson Office of Warrior Care Policy
6. Colorado School of the Deaf & Blind
7. Colorado Department of Labor & Employment
8. Operation Warfighter Transition Centers for all military branches of service
9. Transition Center Job Fairs for Military service members
10. California Department of Rehabilitation

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)
1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD) Answer: No
   b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) Answer: Yes

Mint did not hire any PWTD in FY 2017. The Mint’s ability to hire PWTD may have been impacted due to a hiring freeze (hiring was restricted to internal candidates only) implemented for the majority of FY 2017.

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. New Hires for MCO (PWD) Answer: No
   b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) Answer: No

The B9 Table does not identify any data in this area.

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD) Answer: No
   b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD) Answer: No

The B9 Table does not identify any data in this area.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.
   a. Promotions for MCO (PWD) Answer: No
   b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD) Answer: No

This was not a FY 2017 reporting requirement, but we are working on this for next year’s report.

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN
Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

2. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
   b. Selections (PWD) Answer: No

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking the appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

3. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs identified? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No
   b. Selections (PWTD) Answer: No

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking the appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

C. AWARDS
1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD) Answer: No

   b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) Answer: Yes

   The inclusion rate for PWTD (24.14%) was below the inclusion rate for persons with no disability (39.92%) for time-off awards of 1 – 9 hours.
   The inclusion rate for PWTD (0.00%) was below the inclusion rate for persons with no disability (0.72%) for time off awards greater than 9 hours.
   The inclusion rate for PWTD (37.93%) was below the inclusion rate for persons with no disability (64.65%) for cash awards up to $500.00.
   The inclusion rate for PWTD (45.45%) was below the inclusion rate for persons with no disability (46.10%) for cash awards of greater than $500.00.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Pay Increases (PWD) Answer: No

   b. Pay Increases (PWTD) Answer: Yes

   Number of Perm Employees:
   NO DIS – 1,245   NI plus PWD – 333
   QSI Inclusion Rate (FY 2017):
   NO DIS – 1.92% (24)   NI plus PWD – 0.90% (3)
   The inclusion rate for PWD (0.90%) was below the inclusion rate for persons with no disability (1.92%) for quality step increases.

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

   a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD) Answer: N/A

   b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) Answer: N/A

D. PROMOTIONS
1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No
   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No
   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No
   d. Grade GS-13
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer: No

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer: No
   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer: No
   c. Grade GS-14
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer: No
ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  
   d. Grade GS-13  

   i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  
   ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  

   Answer: No

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWD)  
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD)  
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD)  
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD)  

   Answer: No

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWTD)  
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD)  
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD)  
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD)  

   Answer: No

This is not an FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.
5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  Answer: No
   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  Answer: No
   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  Answer: No

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No
   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No
   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  Answer: No
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  Answer: No

This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.
7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. New Hires for Executives (PWD) Answer: No
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWD) Answer: No
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) Answer: No

   This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD) Answer: No
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD) Answer: No
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) Answer: No

   This is not a FY 2017 reporting requirement. The Mint will be taking appropriate steps to be able to report this information as part of the FY 2018 reporting period.

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be a model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace personal assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS
1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

   Answer: Yes

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWD) Answer: Yes
   b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) Answer: No

   Number of Perm Employees:
   NO DIS – 1,245   NI plus PWD – 333
   VOL SEP Inclusion Rate:
   NO DIS – 8.03% (100)   NI plus PWD – 8.11% (27)
   INVOL Sep Inclusion Rate:
   NO DIS – 0.48% (6)   NI plus PWD – 0.30% (1)

   The inclusion rate for PWD (8.11%) exceeded the rate of persons with no disability (8.03%) for voluntary separations. However, the inclusion rate for PWD (0.30%) did not exceed the inclusion rate for persons with no disability (0.48%) for involuntary separations.

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

   a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD) Answer: No
   b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Answer: No

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

   This is a brief summary from the Exit Survey responses from PWD for the period of October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017:
   During the period of October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017, two permanent employees with disabilities responded to the Department’s Exit Survey. Both employees cited the reason for separation was retirement. Additionally, both of the respondents indicated their work experience was generally positive and stated they would recommend Treasury as a good place to work.

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES
Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform job applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b)), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151 – 4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. **Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.**

   https://www.usmint.gov/policies/accessibility

2. **Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.**

   The Mint is currently in the process of updating the public website to provide the notice.

3. **Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.**

   N/A

**C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM**

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. **Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)**

   20 Business Days

2. **Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency’s reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.**

   The Mint timely processed 100% of its initial first-time reasonable accommodation requests. The DMCR Office and the local EEO Offices monitor the processing times for all reasonable accommodations. Additionally, the local EEO Offices have been asked to provide quarterly updates to the DMCR Office to monitor timelines. Local EEO Offices and the DMCR review their respective requests for accommodations to identify any potential trends.

**D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE**
Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

OPM established the requirement for Personal Assistance Services to be implemented in January 2018. During the first quarter of FY 2018, Treasury EEO established a Personal Assistance Services (PAS) Working Group of which Mint is a participant. The initial purpose of this working group is to establish and finalize a Statement of Work (SOW) for procuring a Treasury-wide PAS contract. This contract will allow the Mint to establish a purchase order against the Treasury-wide base contract. While the PAS contract is being established, the PAS working group will create the PAS policy and procedures. In the interim, the Mint will follow existing reasonable accommodation policy and procedures to provide PAS.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the government-wide average?
   Answer: No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   Answer: No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   The Mint had no findings in FY 2017.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?
   Answer: No

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   Answer: No

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
The Mint had no findings in FY 2017.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?
   Answer: No

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?
   Answer: N/A

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trigger</th>
<th>Barrier(s)</th>
<th>Objective(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrier Analysis Process Completed?</td>
<td>Responsible Official(s)</td>
<td>Performance Standards Address the Plan? (Yes or No)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes or No)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier(s) Identified?</td>
<td>Sources of Data</td>
<td>Identify Information Collected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes or No)</td>
<td>Sources Reviewed? (Yes or No)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Data Tables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint Data (Trends)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grievance Data (Trends)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO, Grievance, MSPB, Anti-Harassment Processes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Assessment Survey (e.g., FEVS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Interview Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sources of Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of Data</th>
<th>Sources Reviewed? (Yes or No)</th>
<th>Identify Information Collected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports (e.g., Congress, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please Describe)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)</th>
<th>Planned Activities</th>
<th>Sufficient Staffing &amp; Funding (Yes or No)</th>
<th>Modified Date (mm/dd/yyyy)</th>
<th>Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Accomplishments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

N/A

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

N/A

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

N/A